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ABSTRACT

Almost any performance space build today will have some sort of variable acoustic surfaces. These are used for changing the acoustics to better suit conference or reinforced music but also to a large extent to optimize the hall for the program played. Traditionally variable acoustics was mainly used in multipurpose halls and was typically implemented as changeable absorption surfaces placed on the sidewalls, either curtains or hinged elements. In the last 20-30 years, more extensive schemes of variable acoustics have been implemented also in dedicated symphonic halls. The most elaborate examples are the Artec designed coupled volume halls, such as Lahti or Lucerne. Also, several halls with variable volume (for instance a moving ceiling) have been implemented in the last 20 years. It is now typical to have for instance “rehearsal” curtains in concert halls, to compensate for the difference between full hall and empty hall. As for multi-purpose halls, the use of variable acoustic surfaces has developed from being just a “crude” control of reverberation to actual acoustic variability. This paper will investigate the effect of variable surfaces, both from the point of view of the placement of the surfaces as well as the acoustic characteristics of the surfaces. The data is partly for the series of measurements done by the author in Finnish concert halls in 2000-2003 and combined with measurements in recent halls.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Even though a performance space (concert hall, theatre or opera house) is always somewhat “multipurpose”, real multipurpose halls have essentially been built from the 1930:ies onward. Especially in the 60:ies and 70:ies, building of multipurpose halls increased. However, these halls were generally viewed as “compromise halls” or rather halls with compromise acoustic conditions. Obviously variable acoustic surfaces were used, such as stage curtains, but the first multipurpose halls with actual variable acoustics emerged around the 1980:ies. These were essentially theatre/concert hall/conference hall etc. combinations. In particular Artec and Russell Johnson began to implement the idea that even different kinds of acoustic performances should enjoy different acoustic conditions in the hall. With the design of coupled volume rooms, such as the Morton H. Meyerson Symphony Center in Dallas, USA and the Sibelius Hall in Lahti, Finland, variable acoustics was incorporated without compromising the acoustic quality for acoustic performances.

About half of the approximately 35 halls built in Finland between 1980 and 2000 have actual changeable acoustic surfaces, other than the stage curtains/changes on the stage. However, when investigating these halls, it is clear that, for the most parts these surfaces are not very efficient, in particular not at low frequencies.

It is well known that the acoustic requirements for acoustic, unamplified music are very different from the requirements for reinforced music. The main problem when playing reinforced music in a traditional concert
hall intended for acoustic music is lack of control at bass frequencies, due to the longer reverberation time at these frequencies and the lower directivity of sound systems at these frequencies. The ideal reverberation time at 125 Hz for a 10000 m³ hall (1000 seat) for reinforced is about 1.4 – 1.6 s [1] whereas the recommended reverberation time for acoustic music would be 2.0 – 2.2 s [2]. In other words, functional multipurpose halls must have variable acoustics not only at high mid frequencies but also at low frequencies.

2. SCHEMES FOR VARIABLE ACOUSTICS

There are essentially three different ways to change the physical acoustic properties of a space, two of which changes the reverberation characteristics and one which reflection pattern.

The reverberation characteristics are typically changed by adding or removing absorption or changing the volume of the hall. In some halls also some redirection of reflections is used, but this is mainly for stage acoustic purposes and in a few case to change the projection from the stage into the auditorium.

The use of electronic enhancement systems will not be discussed in this paper, even though it is most definitely a viable option in many cases.

2.1. Variable absorption

Adding or removing absorption materials is by far the most common and easiest way to achieve variable acoustic properties. Even the old halls, often had some stage curtains, curtains in front of doors etc, which essentially is variable acoustic surfaces.

2.1.1. Mineral wool and similar

In the Finnish multipurpose halls, the typical variable acoustic surfaces are elements with mineral wool filling placed on the sidewalls. The idea is that when closed, the elements will function as “scattering/diffusing elements” and when open, as absorption.

The absorption characteristics of mineral wool is more or less 100% absorbing at high frequencies. The lower limit frequency for high absorption will be lowered by one octave when doubling the thickness of the material, from about 1000 Hz for 30 mm thick mineral wool, 500 Hz for 50 mm thick mineral wool to about 250 Hz for 100 mm thick mineral wool. Essentially the characteristics for high frequencies will remain the same. By adding a thin foil on top of the mineral wool, the surface can be made reflective at high frequencies and the resonance

Figure 1. Variable absorption elements (“Flip-Flops”) in the Topelius hall in Sibbo, Finland.

Figure 2. Typical absorption of soft surfaced mineral wool as a function of material thickness (Paroc Parafon Buller, data from producer).
frequency of the plate will improve the characteristics at lower/mid frequencies.

2.1.2. Curtains

Curtains are extensively used in halls, both for stage curtains, for acoustics control and in many older halls as decorative elements.

From an acoustic point of view, traditional curtains behave like normal porous absorber surfaces, that is, they absorb high frequencies but not much low frequencies.

Figure 4 shows the absorption of a Wool Serge type curtains. Even though the data is for a specific manufacture, they can be seen as representative for Molton/Wool Serge types of non-backed fabrics. As can be seen from the figure, the absorption of Wool Serge curtains increases with increasing frequency. The absorption is higher for heavier curtains.

Figure 3. Change of absorption when a thin foil is added to the surface of the mineral wool [3].

Figure 4. Wool Serge curtains of different weight (Data from J.C.Joel/SRL).

Figure 5. Flat vs gathered/folded curtain.

Figure 6. 600 g/m² wool curtain at different distances from the wall (Akukon internal measurements).

Figure 7. 600 g/m² folded curtain at different distances from the wall. (Akukon internal measurements).
be seen, the connection between weight and absorption for simple curtain cloth is not linear. In other words, it would appear an increase in weight does not necessarily give an increased absorption at mid and low frequencies. The same seems to be the case for distance of the curtain from the wall. Increase in absorption is not a linear function of the increase of distance from the wall.

**2.1.3. Bass absorbers**

Traditionally, variable bass absorption has been very difficult to implement in halls. As shown above, all traditional materials used for variable acoustic surfaces will provide mainly high frequency absorption.

Bass absorption is normally achieved by either plates or different kinds of slotted or perforated structures. It is however difficult to change the absorption characteristics of these surfaces.

New materials from for instance FlexAcoustics provide an interesting new possibility for variable bass absorption [4].

One other option which is used for instance in the coupled volume halls is to open the doors to a volume with absorption. Experience from both the Stavanger hall and the Lahti hall indicates that this provides more or less frequency independent absorption.

Essentially the same idea is to use voids behind large slotted panels. When absorption, such as a roller banner, is applied in the void, this will provide low frequency absorption. This will also give some absorption at low frequencies even when the banner is removed, but this may not necessary be a problem in a smaller hall.

**2.1.4. Effect of placement of variable absorption**

Experience from the halls measured in the study of Finnish Cultural houses, indicates that there is a connection between the efficiency of the variable absorption and the placement. Essentially the efficiency of the typical flip-flops, placed on the side walls, seems to be somewhat lower than absorption materials place in the primary path of the direct sound, in particular as transverse curtains from the ceiling and on the stage. However, it is also clear that part of the explanation is that it is also easier to get large amounts of variable surfaces from curtains. Also, it is easier to get heavy curtains or double curtains as transverse curtains.

In figure 9, different placement of absorption has been tested in a very simple Odeon model (V15.15). The basic model is auditorium with a volume of about 2800 m$^3$. The used absorption is a "generic" heavy curtain. The absorption data used was for a straight curtain 100 mm of the wall.

As can be seen, curtains mounted transverse across the hall, seems to be about 10% more efficient at mid frequencies that absorption placed on the side wall or the rear wall. Also, one could argue that the absorption of a transverse curtain is not the same as for a curtain in front of a solid surface. In any case, this should be further verified in actual measurements.

**2.2. Variable volume**

One of the most popular methods of achieving variable acoustics, is by variating the volume of the room. One version of this concept is the “coupled volumes” concept, used by Artec Inc. For instance the halls in Lahti, Lucerne and Birmingham have additional volume is located around the hall and this volume can be “added” to the hall by opening doors. These added volumes are often described as “Reverberation chambers” which is somewhat misleading as it seems as the added volume affects most other room acoustic parameters more than actually the reverberation.

In some newer halls, an actual variability of the volume is achieved by a moveable ceiling. For instance, in Stavanger, the ceiling height can be variated by 5 m.

For more detail description of the variable volume concept, look in [9] and [10].

**2.3. Variable reflections**

Typically, the reflectors above the orchestra can be defined as a variable reflection surface. In most case the angel of
the reflectors is locked but the height of the reflector cloud or canopy can be varied in accordance to the amount of overhead response wanted by the orchestra.

### 3. EXAMPLES OF HALLS WITH VARIABLE ACOUSTICS

#### 3.1. Halls from the 1980-2000 study

In a study done by the author between 1998 and 2001, 35 halls built between 1980 and 2000 were investigated [5]. Among the halls, 15 halls have actual variable acoustics, which are variable surfaces in the auditoria in addition to stage curtains.

Figure 10 shows the variation of the reverberation time in percent; in figure 10A just using the variable surfaces in the auditorium, in figure 10B both surfaces in the auditorium and stage curtains.

As can be seen from figure 10, when using just the variable surfaces in the auditorium, the change in reverberation time is not dramatic. When also using the stage curtains, the change at mid- and high frequencies becomes larger, but the change at low frequencies is still not very significant.

In some of the halls above, the small change in reverberation time may be contribute to a low maximum reverberation time, in other words the amount of changeable absorption is not large compared to the overall amount of absorption in the hall. However, some of the halls have quite long maximum reverberation time but even so the change is not large, and not sufficient to make the hall acoustically appropriate for reinforced music.
For most of the halls in the survey, the change of the Early Decay Time, EDT, when using stage curtains was larger than the change when just using the variable surfaces in the auditorium.

Both the halls shown in figure 11 have variable absorption implemented as hinged elements on the side walls. In both cases, the reverberation time at mid and high frequencies, will be significantly shorter when also the stage curtains are exposed. But in the case of the Kuusamo hall, the reverberation time will still be quite long for reinforced music, considering that the hall has only 532 seats.

![Figure 12. Variable absorption panels in the Kuusamo hall.](image)

### 3.2. Newer halls

Some newer halls have been designed with more extensive variable acoustic surfaces in the auditorium.

Figure 13 shows the measurement results from the Kauniainen “Nya Paviljongen” hall. This hall has mechanical curtains on all side walls as well as on the rear wall.

![Figure 13. Reverberation time change and percentual change in the Nya Paviljongen hall.](image)

As can be seen, the change by the curtains in the auditorium is acceptable but not sufficient at low frequencies. However, when also the stage curtains are added, the reverberation time becomes acceptable for reinforced music. It should be noted that as the hall has a rather small fixed seating area, the “Hard” condition is with a large flat floor without chairs in front of the stage, which is layout not really used for performances.

The Vanaja hall in the Verkatehdas Cultural Center in Hämeenlinna, Finland, is generally regarded as one of the best multipurpose halls for reinforced music in Finland. The hall was designed for reinforced music, conference, etc., but also to have acceptable acoustics for symphony music [7]. The hall has 4 double ceiling curtains, single roller curtains along the sidewalls and normal stage curtains. Furthermore, there is fixed absorption in the side and rear stage area, which become a part of the hall when the orchestra shell is removed.

Figure 15 shows the measured reverberation time and percentage change. As can be seen, the ceiling curtains are somewhat efficient at mid and high frequencies, but real changes in the reverberation time is achieved by changing the stage to soft (which implies removing the orchestra shell completely and adding curtains).
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4. DISCUSSION

This investigation of the reverberation time is only a simplification of the problem of variable acoustics. Most likely a better evaluation of the suitability of the halls for reinforced music could be done by evaluating EDT, Strength and Clarity parameters and, in particular, the parameters as a function of distance from the stage.

4.1. Effect of the added absorption in the auditorium

In general, the effect of the overall reverberation time of the curtains is somewhat small. Also, for most halls the added absorption structures in the auditorium have little or no influence on the reverberation at low frequencies.

Figure 14. Nya Paviljongen hall without curtains and with part of the curtains exposed.

Figure 15. Reverberation time change and precentual change in the Vanaja hall.

Figure 16. The Vanaja hall with orchestra shell.
4.2. Effect of stage curtains

From the measurements, it is clear that the stage curtains/stage structures are the structures that have the largest influence on the reverberation time in the hall. This inevitably poses a problem, as it means that the acoustic conditions on the stage and in the auditorium are very different.

4.3. Variability at bass frequencies

It seems very difficult to achieve real change at bass frequencies with traditional variable absorption surfaces (porous surfaces). However, one can also argue that in a 10 000 m² multipurpose hall (such as the Vanaja hall), a reduction of the reverberation time at low frequencies from 1.7 s to 1.4 s at 125 Hz is enough to make the hall suitable for reinforced music. But this also means that reverberation time bass frequencies cannot be designed for optimal bass response for an acoustic orchestra.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, smaller halls with variable acoustic surfaces have been presented. The investigation has been done only for reverberation time and shows that the traditional variable absorbing surfaces are not that efficient in the auditorium and that the surface in general, the surfaces do not work very well at low frequencies.
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